UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ADVANCED ANALYTICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CITIGROUP, INC., LONDON STOCK EXCHANGE GROUP PLC, FTSE INTERNATIONAL LTD, and FRANK RUSSELL COMPANY,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

20 Civ. 7034 (PGG)

PAUL G. GARDEPHE, U.S.D.J.:

On May 7, 2004, Advanced Analytics, Inc. ("Plaintiff") filed a complaint (Dkt. No. 1 in 04 Civ. 3531) against Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. and The Yield Book, Inc., commencing the action in <u>Advanced Analytics, Inc. v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. et al.</u>, 04 Civ. 3531 (LTS) (SLC) ("Advanced Analytics I");

On August 28, 2020, Plaintiff filed a complaint (Dkt. No. 1), commencing the above-captioned action ("Advanced Analytics II");

On November 30, 2020, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint (Dkt. No. 21) (the "Amended Complaint");

On December 14, 2020, Defendant Citigroup, Inc. ("Citi," with London Stock Exchange Group PLC., FTSE International, Ltd, and Frank Russell Company, the "Defendants") submitted a letter to the Court requesting an extension of its time to answer the Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 23) (the "Letter Request for an Extension");

On December 16, 2020, the Court issued an order directing Plaintiff to respond to the Letter Request for an Extension by December 21, 2020 (Dkt. No. 24);

On December 21, 2020, Plaintiff filed its response to the Letter Request for an Extension (Dkt. No. 28);

On December 22, 2020, the Court issued an Order (Dkt. No. 29) directing the parties to show cause by January 4, 2021 as to why a stay should not be issued in Advanced Analytics II, given that the resolution of various issues in Advanced Analytics I may be dispositive of certain issues in the instant case;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

- 1. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the present action, Advanced Analytics II, will be stayed pending the final resolution of each of the following matters in Advanced Analytics I:
 - a. Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge Pitman's September 4, 2019 Denial of Plaintiff's Sanction Motion Pursuant to Rule 56(h) (Dkt. No. 361 in 04 Civ. 3531);
 - b. Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation Granting Motion for Summary Judgment and Related Denial of Rule 56(d) Motion (Dkt. No. 362 in 04 Civ. 3531; see also Dkt. No. 390 in 04 Civ. 3531); and
 - c. Plaintiff's Objection to Part of Magistrate Judge Cave's Order of June 9, 2020 and Denial of Plaintiff's Motion to Defer (Dkt. No. 442 in 04 Civ. 3531).
- 2. The deadline for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint in the above-captioned matter shall be 60 days after all three Objections referenced above are resolved. Within seven days after all three Objections have been resolved, counsel will submit a joint letter to the Court notifying the Court of this development and the effect of that development on the instant case.

Dated: New York, New York January 12, 2021

so ordered.

Paul G. Gardephe

United States District Judge